

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,661	09/27/2001	Frank Beard Douglas	SPC 0403 PA	3091
23368 7	590 03/14/2003			
KILLWORTH GOTTMAN HAGAN & SCHAEFF, LLP ONE DAYTON CENTRE, SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			DAVIS, WILLIE L	
-	MAIN STREET			
DAYTON, OH	43402-2023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	-
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Asti in Occurrence	09/965,661	DOUGLAS, FRANK BEARD				
Office Acti n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Willie Davis	2877				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.8	September 2001 .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disp sition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8-16 and 20-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7 and 17-19</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R view (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/965,661

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 8-16 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ake. Ake discloses a device capable of detecting and displaying the relative position of a generally horizontal reference plane of light comprising a vertically oriented row wherein photodetector elements provide electrical output when illuminated with a plane of light, an output circuit for determining the relative levels of reference signals, a weighting circuit for providing electrical output of photodetector elements and a display for providing an indication of position of the reference plane of light with respect to the detector device. Although Ake does not claim an additional row of photodetector elements, he teaches that shading of the elements by the edge of the case can be corrected by realignment or rearrangement of photodetectors in the device(see patent 4907874, columns 1 and 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add an additional row of elements to improve detection, since Ake teaches that the realignment and rearrangement of photodetectors can be used to improve detection and eliminate problems caused by shading.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,661

Art Unit: 2877

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-7 and 17-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Willie Davis whose telephone number is 703-305-5169. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 703-308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular

communications and 703-308-0956 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-7722.

February 27 2003

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 3

Technology Center 2800